Boundary Element Method Open Source Software in Matlab/ Octave/Freemat/Scilab

File / Module(s)	interiorSquareTestRobin.m/interiorSquareTestRobin.m	
Title	Practical test of the gls.m and regls.m routines for solving a general linear system of equations in the context of the dire	ct
	boundary element method.	
Version(Date) and	1. (July 2015).	
History		
Description	This is a Matlab /Octave/Freemat/Scilab code for testing the gls.m routine for solving the linear system	
	$A\underline{x} = B\underline{y} + \underline{c},$	(1a)
	where A and B are known $n \times n$ matrices and <u>c</u> is a known <i>n</i> -vector with	
	$\alpha_i x_i + \beta_i y_i = f_i \text{ for } i = 1 \dots n $	(1b)
	where the α_i, β_i and f_i are constants with α_i and β_i are never both zero for each 1.	
	The outline test problem	
	n_D=2;	
	p_D=[0.25, 0.75; 0.75, 0.25];.	
	The matrices L_{SS} , M_{SS} and M_{SS}^{L} are generally required for the implementation of the method in order to find the su	rface
	solutions and the following command generates these matrices:	
	[L_SS,M_SS,Mt_SS,N_SS] = IDem2_on(n_S,vertpts,elemvert,true,true,true,true,taise); .	
	In the command above the 'on' in 'lbem? on' indicates that the points are on the surface. The 'true true true true true	alse'
	indicates that the L_{ac} M_{ac} and M_{ac}^{t} are required but the final matrix is not required. Following this command the matrix	icses
	are stored in L SSM SS and Mt SS Similarly for the domain points in the methods above only the L _{pc} and	Mpc
	matrices are required, and these values are stored in L DS and M DS following the command:	I III DS
	[L_DS,M_DS,Mt_DS,N_DS]=lbem2(n_D,p_D,vecp_D,n_S,vertpts,elemvert,false,true,true,false,false);	
	Since the M _{es} and M ^t _c matrices are always used with $\frac{1}{2}$ Ladded, it is convenient to form the following matrices:	
	2	

```
M_SSplus=M_SS+eye(n_S)/2;
Mt_SSplus=Mt_SS+eye(n_S)/2;
The exact solutions on the boundary for the test problem have been stated and are illustrated on Figure 1. In the Matlab
code they are set as follows:
for k=1:n S
       phi_S_exact(k)=2*(colpoints(k,1)^2-colpoints(k,2)^2);
end
for k=1:n S/4
       v S exact(k)=0;
       v S exact(n S/4+k)=-4;
       v_S_exact(n_S/2+k)=4;
       v_S_exact(3*n_S/4+k)=0;
end
Test 1: Half Dirichlet and half Neumann boundary condition.
As a first test the direct method involving the gls algorithm is compared with the alternative methods. In this case the direct
boundary element method is still easily applicable in the usual, if rather clumsy fashion. In this test the Dirichlet boundary
condition is applied on the left and top sides and the Nemann condition is applied on the right and bottom sides. In matlab
this is coded as follows:
alpha(1:n S/2)=1.0;
alpha(n_S/2+1:n_S)=0.0;
beta(1:n S/2)=0.0;
beta(n S/2+1:n S)=1.0;
for (k=1:n S)
       f(k)=alpha(k)*phi S exact(k)+beta(k)*v S exact(k);
end
Direct Methods
In the direct method, with variation in the method of solving the system of equations, the purpuse of the first part of the
method is to find vectors \hat{\varphi}_S and \hat{v}_S by solving equation (9). Once these have been determined, \hat{\varphi}_D can be found using
equation (11) and in matlab this is implemented with the following code:
phi D=L DS*v S-M DS*phi S.
```



	The various	s direct solutions ae	carried out to illustrate th	e various matrix solution	techniques. They also verify the <i>gls</i>
	algorithm that is the subject of this paper. The three methods solving the system arising in the direct all give the same				
	solutions ar	nd these are shown in	Table 1. The solutions usin	g the indirect method are g	given in Table 2.
	_				
		Test problem 1: Direct Solution			
		elements	point (0.25,0.75)	point (0.75, 0.25)	
		exact solution	-1	1	
		32	-1.00116340579288	0.99140414916650	
		64	-1.00032267851548	0.99749398360249	
		128	-1.00009049851497	0.99926478494698	
		256	-1.00002558523010	0.99978267595968	
		512	-1.00000729360382	0.99993525789670	
		1024	-1.00000209812315	0.99998056513669	
		Tal	ble 1. The results from the c	lirect solution on Test pro	blem 1.
	Г	Τe	est problem 1: Indirect Sol	ution	
		elements	point (0.25,0.75)	point (0.75, 0.25)	
		exact solution	-1	1	
		32	-1.00723281386399	0.93455909390238	
		64	-1.00406088516754	0.95961702269782	
		128	-1.00246190337801	0.97476365754309	
		256	-1.00153247427505	0.98415050828163	
		512	-1.00096190256784	0.99002659494003	
		1024	-1.00060530545130	0.99371954438783	
Table 2. The results from the indirect solution on Test problem 1. <u>Test 2: $\alpha(\mathbf{p})$ and $\beta(\mathbf{p})$ are both generally non-zero.</u>					
	The second test is based on the same solution as Test 1, but the boundary condition is such that $\alpha(\mathbf{p})$ and $\beta(\mathbf{p})$ are finite over the boundary. In order to activate the gls method fully, the $\alpha(\mathbf{p})$ and $\beta(\mathbf{p})$ are set over a wide range of values, $\alpha(\mathbf{p})$ from small (almost zero) to large (10 ⁶) and $\beta(\mathbf{p})$ similarly from large to small. The code for setting the boundary condition is as follows:				

for k=1:n_S alpha(k)=10^(6*k/n_ beta(k)=10^(6*(n_S- f(k)=alpha(k)*phi_S_ end .	S); k)/n_S); _exact(k)+beta(k)*v_S_exact	(k);	
If the boundary condition is the boundary co	truly mixed, that is $\alpha(\mathbf{p})$ and	$\beta(\mathbf{p})$ are generally non-ze	ero, then the row swapping method (as
in lest 1, <i>Direct Method 1</i>) (cannot be used. The method is the als method is	based on the compound m	hatrix (as in Test 1, <i>Direct Method 2</i>) is
same way as in Test1 The re-	sults from these tests are give	n Tables 3 and 4	muneet method is also applied in the
same way as in restr. The re	suits if officiencies costs are give		
	Test problem 2: Direct So	lution	
elements	point (0.25,0.75)	point (0.75, 0.25)	
exact solution	-1	1	
32	-0.99313784783564	1.00082851722167	
64	-0.99803407600987	1.00022336648713	
128	-0.99941881322429	1.00006375224490	
256	-0.99982435277907	1.00001885053645	
512	-0.99994612588802	1.00000570093421	
1024	-0.99998331140774	1.00000174981983	
elements	Table 3. The results from the Test problem 2: Indirect S	e direct solution on Test pr olution	roblem 2.
exact solution	-1	1	_
32	-0.93310167620744	1.00787906346035	
64	-0.95833487012629	1.00457866374391	-
128	-0.97382320310768	1.00282928391449	
256	-0.98351131440556	1.00177838256915	7
512	-0.98960793716359	1.00112171388078	7
1024	-0.99345041461506	1.00070760841826	
512 1024	-0.98960793716359 -0.99345041461506 Table 4. The results from the	1.00112171388078 1.00070760841826 indirect solution on Test p	problem 4.

Interface	function [phi_D,phi_S,v_S]= interiorSquareTestRobin(n_S)
	Input Parameters integer p.S. The number of alements on the square, must be a multiple of A
	integer in_5 The number of elements on the square, mast be a mailiple of 4.
	<u>Output Parameters</u>
	phi_D The computed solution φ at the interior points (0.25,0.75) and (0.75,0.25)
	phi_S The computed solution φ at the collocation points, the centres of the elements
	v_S The computed solution $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial r}$ at the collocation points, the centres of the elements
	on
Web source of code.	www.boundary-element-method.com/mfiles/interiorSquareTestRobin.m
Web source of this guide	www.boundary-element-method.com/mfiles / interiorSquareTestRobin _m.pdf
Web source of the	www.boundary-element-method.com/tutorials/Integral Equation Formulations of the Interior Laplace Problem.pdf
algorithm	
Dependent routines	lbem2.m <u>http://www.boundary-element-method.com/mfiles/lbem2.m</u>
	lbem2_on.m <u>http://www.boundary-element-method.com/mfiles/lbem2_on.m</u>
	gls.m <u>http://www.boundary-element-method.com/mfiles/gls.m</u>
	regls.m <u>http://www.boundary-element-method.com/mfiles/regls.m</u>
	square_general.m <u>http://www.boundary-element-method.com/mfiles/square_general.m</u>
Test problems or	gls.m <u>http://www.boundary-element-method.com/mfiles/gls.m</u>
modules tested	regls.m <u>http://www.boundary-element-method.com/mfiles/regls.m</u>
	lbem2.m <u>http://www.boundary-element-method.com/mfiles/lbem2.m</u>
	lbem2_on.m <u>http://www.boundary-element-method.com/mfiles/lbem2_on.m</u>
Licence	This is 'open source'; the software may be used and applied within other systems as long as its provenance is appropriately
	acknowledged. See the <u>GNU Licence</u> for more information or contact <u>webmaster@boundary-element-method.com</u> .
Codes that this may be	gls.m will normally be run before regls: <u>http://www.boundary-element-method.com/mfiles/gls.m</u>
used alongside this one	
Similar codes that may be	A similar m-file code is available in Excel-VBA on
of interest	www.boundary-element-method.com/Excel_VBA/GLS.xlsm
	and a similar code is available in Fortran on
	http://www.boundary-element-method.com/fortran/REGLS.FOR
Applications	

Author	Stephen Kirkup
References	1. <u>Numerical Solution of General Linear Systems of Equations</u>
	2. <u>The Boundary Element Method in Acoustics</u>
	3. <u>www.boundary-element-method.com</u>
	4. <u>www.freemat.info</u>